

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/756,368</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) DOTTORI ET AL</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner Sandra Saucier</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1651</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/756,368	Applicant(s) DOTTORI ET AL	Examiner Sandra Saucier	Art Unit 1651
Application No. 10/756,368	Applicant(s) DOTTORI ET AL				
Examiner Sandra Saucier	Art Unit 1651				

All Participants: \_\_\_\_\_ Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Sandra Saucier. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) S. Salvadori. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 8 June 2010 Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Obviousness rejection over Sweeney et al. has been overcome by the declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 4/13/10.*

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

*Sweeney et al.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Title of the invention will be changed by the examiner to more closely reflect the claimed method*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sandra Saucier/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)